

**Advisory Action**  
**After the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.

09/909,248

Examiner

Jeffery A Brier

Applicant(s)

BANERJEE ET AL.

Art Unit

2672

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The reply filed 28 February 2005 is acknowledged.

1. ☐ The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will not be entered because:

a. ☐ The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).

b. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief.  
See 37. CFR 41.33(d)(2).

2. ☐ The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.

Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to one of the following: (a) an examiner's answer that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental examiner's answer written in response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).

3. ☒ The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

4. ☒ Other: The amendment filed on 2/28/2005 overcomes the objection to claim 1. Claims 1, 6 and 7 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCain, U.S. Patent No. 5,309,351, in view of applicants admission of Pen Windowss

